

(

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/977,051 | 10/12/2001 | Michael D. Mosk | 88196/00-143 | 1803 |
| 22206 | 7590 06/20/2003 | | | |
| FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP BAILEY & TIPPENS THE KENNEDY BUILDING 321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BOCKELMAN, MARK | |
| | | | | |
| TULSA, OK 74103-3318 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3762 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003 | Z |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| | | | · J |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | • | 09/977,051 | MOSK ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Mark W Bockelman | 3762 |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply | on appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repution. is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA | oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)[| This action is non-final. | |
| 3)□ Disposit | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the appl | ication. | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | ithdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| . — | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | ٠ |
| <i>,</i> — | The specification is objected to by the Ex | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | |
| _ | Applicant may not request that any objection | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | sapproved by the Examiner. |
| [| If approved, corrected drawings are require | | |
| ,— | The oath or declaration is objected to by | tne Examiner. | |
| • | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| • | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | | |
| * (| 3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internatio See the attached detailed Office action fo | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | |
| 14) 🗌 A | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de | omestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| |) The translation of the foreign langua Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d | | |
| Attachmen | • | • | |
| 1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | (48) 5) Notice of In | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S Datent and T | rademark Office | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/977,051

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang et al USPN 6,302,874. Zhang et al teaches the application of electrical energy in the form of electroporation pulses simultaneously applied with ultrasound (see column 9, lines 46-47) along with ascorbic acid to enhance collagen production.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/977,051 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 14- 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al (alone or alternatively in view of Alvarez et al "The healing of superficial skin wounds is stimulated by external electrical current").

While Zhang et al teaches the application of ascorbic acid to wounds in the background of the invention, he does not teach the application of his electroporation/ultrasound method to a preexisting wound. However, the examiner considers it obvious to have applied the method to a wound that was created by injury since his method deals with healing the skin via collagen formation. Alternatively to have produced a wound in the skin to test the healing capabilies of the Zhang et al method would have been obvious in view of the teachings of Alvarez who induces keratome wounds in test animals for purposes of testing the collagen synthesis capabilities of his method.

5. Claims 17-20, 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as applied to claims 16-18 above, and further in view of Eppstein et al USPN 5,445,611. Applicant differs in reciting specific parameters regarding the application of the phonophoresis such as frequency as well as intensity. Applicant's parameter's are well known as demonstrated in column 3 lines 30-45. The selection of applicant's phonophoretic parameter range would have

Application/Control Number: 09/977,051 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

been obviously employed in the Zhang et al device since they are merely conventional parameters known the phonophoresis art. Similarly, applicant has shown no special improvement in his electrical energy pulsing (claim 20) and would therefore be mere routine experimentation to discover the pulsing schemes that work for Zhang et al's electroporation.

6. Claims 2-13, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. as applied to claim 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Alvarez "The healing of superficial skin wounds is stimulated by external electrical current" and Doan et al "In vitro effects of therapeutic ultrasound on cell proliferation, protein synthesis and cytokine production by human fibroblasts, and monocytes" and optionally Henley USPN 5,667,487.

Zhang teaches the production of collagen as a method for healing skin and teaches the application of iontophoresis as well as ultrasound so as to provide a synergistic effect (column 4-line 8-20. While he does not teach that the currents and the ultrasound employed would stimulate collagen, it is apparent from the Alvarez and the Doan references that currents and ultrasound in the energy ranges that provide iontophoresis as well as phonophoresis also produce collagen healing. Thus, carrying out normal iontophoresis as well as ultrasound simultaneously (as additionally taught by Henley) would inherently result in collagen formation. In addition, it would be obvious to used the methods of Alvarez and Doan since they would provide the synergistic collagen formation that is desired by Zhang et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/977,051 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Bockelman whose telephone number is (703) 308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, . Angela Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3591.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

MWB

June 16, 2003

Mal Ball